

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,989	12/09/2003	Jeffrey Brian Sampsell	5093-003	5845	
20995 7	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			CHOI, WI	LLIAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614	•	2873	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/731,989	SAMPSELL ET AL.			
omoo nouch cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	William C. Choi	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26,32 and 33</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8,14,16,17,19-26,32 and 33</u> is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,15 and 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 9-13</u> is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	. 🗖				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1105. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 2-7, 9-13, 15 and 18 is withdrawn in view of the objections/rejections that follow.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) with copies of the references cited therein, was received on 11/18/2005. An initialized copy of the IDS is enclosed with this office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-7 and 9-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- in claim 4, line 1, "1, the switches comprising" should be changed to "1, wherein the switches comprising comprise";
- in claim 5, line 1, "1, the switches further comprising" should be changed to "1, wherein the switches further comprising comprise";
- in claim 6, line 1, "1, the switches comprising" should be changed to "1, wherein the switches further comprising comprise";
- in claim 7, line 1, "1, the switches further comprising" should be changed to "1, wherein the switches further comprising comprise";
- in claim 9, lines 1-2, "8, arranging array connection lines for each row further comprising" should be changed to "8, wherein said arranging array connection lines for each row further comprising comprises";
- in claim 10, lines 1-2, "8, providing electrical connection between the array connection line further comprising" should be changed to "8, wherein said

providing electrical connection between the array connection line further comprising comprises";

- in claim 11, lines 1-2, "8, providing electrical connection between the array connection line further comprising" should be changed to "8, wherein said providing electrical connection between the array connection line further comprising comprises";
- in claim 12, lines 1-2, "10, the microelectromechanical switches further comprising" should be changed to "10, wherein the microelectromechanical switches further comprising comprise";
- in claim 13, lines 1-2, "8, providing electrical connection further comprising" should be changed to "8, wherein said providing electrical connection further comprising comprises". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "**multiple** sub-elements" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purposes of examination, it was assumed applicant meant to disclose in lines 1 and 2, "claim 1, multiple wherein said one or more sub-elements further comprising comprises".

Claim 3 recites the limitation "column connection lines" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purposes of

Art Unit: 2873

examination, it was assumed applicant meant to disclose in lines 1 and 2, "claim 1, column wherein said array connection lines further comprising comprises".

Claim 15 recites in lines 3-4, wherein the number of sub-elements "is determined by a desired bit depth" and further recites in lines 11-12, wherein the number of sub-elements "corresponds to the number of colors in the element", introducing unclarity as to what the number of sub-elements is dependent on, thereby rendering the claim vague and indefinite. For purposes of examination, it was assumed applicant meant to disclose in lines 11-12, "wherein each element comprises a predetermined number of sub-elements <u>cascades</u>, and the predetermined number <u>of cascades</u> corresponds to the number of colors in the element" in agreement with the previous claim language of claim 15.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "each color" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purposes of examination, it was assumed applicant meant to disclose in line 4, "each of a desired color". Furthermore, in lines 8-9, applicant is advised that the limitation, "providing an array of interferometric elements having at least one sub-element cascade further comprising providing a sub-element cascade for each desired color" does not further limit the claim, since these limitations have already been recited in lines 3-4. Applicant is encouraged, in response to this office action, to make appropriate corrections.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 8, 14, 16, 17, 19-26, 32 and 33 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 1: a light modulator comprising an array comprised of rows and columns of interferometric display elements, each divided into sub-rows of sub-elements as claimed, specifically comprising sub-array connection lines electrically connected to each array connection line, and switches to transmit the operating signals from each array connection line to the sub-rows to effect gray scale modulation.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 8: a method of manufacturing an interferometric light modulator comprising providing an array of interferometric display elements arranged in rows and columns as claimed, specifically wherein each element comprises a predetermined number of subrows depending upon a desired bit-depth for a display and a predetermined number of sub-columns corresponding to a desired number of colors for the display and providing electrical connection between the array connection line for each row to one of the subrows of the corresponding row of the array.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 14: a light modulator comprising an array of interferometric display elements arranged in rows and columns comprising an array connection line electrically connected to a sub-element in each display element as claimed, specifically wherein at

least one sub-element is configured to selectively form an electrical connection connecting said array connection line to at least one other sub-element.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 16: a light modulator comprising an array of interferometric display elements arranged in rows and columns comprising sub-elements as claimed, specifically comprising addressing circuitry to provide an addressing pulse to each sub-element cascade, wherein a number of sub-elements in the cascade that become active depends upon a length of the addressing pulse.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claims 17 and 19: a method of manufacturing a light modulator comprising providing an array of interferometric display elements, each comprising at least one sub-element cascade as claimed, specifically such that at least one sub-element is configured to selectively form an electrical connection connecting said array connection line to at least one other sub-element and electrically connecting a first element in each sub-element cascade in a row to a corresponding connection line for that row.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claims 20-22 and 32: a light modulator comprising an array of interferometric elements, each comprising a pre-determined number of sub-elements as claimed, specifically wherein each sub-element comprises a single movable layer having a surface area corresponding to a different binary weight of display information and wherein the number of sub-elements depends upon a desired bit depth.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 23: a light modulator comprising an array of interferometric elements, each comprising a pre-determined number of sub-elements as claimed, specifically wherein one or more of the sub-elements are of a different size corresponding to a different binary weight of display information, wherein the number of sub-elements depend upon a desired bit depth and one connection line for each display element and a set of switches electrically connected between the display element and the sub-elements.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 24-26 and 33: a method of manufacturing a light modulator comprising providing an array of interferometric display elements and forming sub-elements within each display element of a size approximately equal to one half the display element as claimed, specifically further comprising forming additional sub-elements, each having a movable layer having a surface area approximately equal to half the surface area of the next largest movable layer of another sub-element.

Claims 15 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, taking into account the assumption made in the examination of the claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 15: a light modulator comprising an array of interferometric display elements arranged in rows and columns comprising sub-elements as claimed, specifically comprising a predetermined number of sub-elements, wherein the number of sub-

elements is determined by desired bit depth and a predetermined number of subelement cascades within each display element, wherein the predetermined number corresponds to the number of colors in the element.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 18: a method of manufacturing a light modulator comprising providing an array of interferometric display elements arranged in rows and columns as claimed, specifically comprising electrically connecting a first element in each sub-element cascade in a row to a corresponding connection line for that row; and providing an array of interferometric elements having at least one sub-element cascade further comprising providing a sub-element cascade for each desired color.

Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-7 and 9-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:00 am to 6 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,989 Page 9

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.C

William Choi Patent Examiner Art Unit 2873 January 30, 2006

Programme T